

KFL&A Community Wellbeing Survey: Civic Engagement and Community Wellbeing in Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox & Addington

Technical Supplement

A report for The Community Foundation for Kingston and Area

Bryan Smale, Keely Phillips, and Margo Hilbrecht

Canadian Index of Wellbeing Faculty of Applied Health Sciences University of Waterloo

August 2014

Smale, B., Phillips, K., & Hilbrecht, M. (2014). Civic Engagement and Community Wellbeing in Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox & Addington: Technical Supplement. Waterloo, ON: Canadian Index of Wellbeing and University of Waterloo.

© 2014 Canadian Index of Wellbeing

Canadian Index of Wellbeing Faculty of Applied Health Sciences University of Waterloo Waterloo, Ontario Canada N2L 3G1

For more information: <u>www.ciw.ca</u>



@ciwnetwork

Contents

Introduction	1
Background	1
Civic Engagement Index	1
List of Abbreviations and Terms	3
Community Vitality	5
Democratic Engagement	6
Environment	8
Leisure and Culture	16
Education	35
Living Standards	36
Time Use	49
Overall Wellbeing	61
Demographics	71

Introduction

This report is a technical supplement to the report, *Civic Engagement and Community Wellbeing in Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox & Addington.* It presents specific findings about KFL&A residents' level of civic engagement using data from the CIW Community Wellbeing Survey conducted in KFL&A in June of 2013 that are used in the full report.

Background

In consideration of Ontario's municipal elections in the fall of 2014, the Community Foundation for Kingston and Area expressed an interest in acquiring a better understanding of how residents' level of civic engagement was linked to their wellbeing. In this report, the relationship between residents' civic engagement and selected indicators from domains based on the Canadian Index of Wellbeing (CIW) is linked to their wellbeing. Demographic factors are also considered in relation to civic engagement to determine whether certain individual qualities or characteristics might help to identify segments of the population that were either more or less likely to be civically engaged.

The CIW Community Wellbeing Survey took place in Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox & Addington (KFL&A) from April 30th to June 7th 2013. An initial sample of 11,000 households in KFL&A was randomly selected and one adult in each household was invited to complete the survey online, or on paper if preferred. Of the households invited to participate, 1,515 responses were completed and usable, representing a response rate of 13.8%. Because of the low response rate from younger residents, the results presented in this report are limited to people 25 years of age and older, for a total of 1,509 participants. The data used in this report have been weighted by gender, age, and geographic location to match the 2011 census profile of KFL&A. Weighting the data ensures results are representative of the entire KFL&A population 25 years of age and older.

The tables presented in this *Technical Supplement* are divided among sections representing each domain of wellbeing, and two other sections for demographic characteristics and overall wellbeing. With the exception of the Healthy Populations domain, selected indicators within each domain are compared to residents' level of civic engagement as are some demographic characteristics. Based on these tables of results, a summary of key findings and suggestions of how they can be used at the community level is provided in the full report.

Civic Engagement Index

An index was created in order to explore the relationship between KFL&A's residents' level of civic engagement and selected indicators associated with wellbeing. The index was calculated by summing the different types of civic activities in which each person reported participating during the past 12 months. The nine activities are:

- Attending a council meeting
- Attending a ward or neighbourhood meeting
- Attending a planning meeting or open house
- Writing a letter or email about a local issue
- Writing a letter to the editor about local issue
- Joining a Facebook page on a local issue
- Participating in a demonstration or protest
- Participating in a local charitable event
- Participating in a local event in support of community

An individual's score on the civic engagement index could range from zero, which indicates no participation in any of these activities, to nine, which indicates participation in all of the activities at least once in the previous 12 months. No one participated in all nine of the activity types listed, most residents participated in just one or two activities, and fewer than 5% of the residents were engaged in five or more activities in the previous 12 months.

While these numbers might appear low, it is important to note that the index does *not* reflect *intensity* of involvement; in other words, the index reflects the number of activities in which the residents are engaged, but not how often they are involved. For example a resident might report being engaged in just one civic activity, but participate in that activity very often. Similarly, another resident might report have been engaged in four or five activities in the past year, but spent much less time participating in each one. Nevertheless, the index does distinguish between those residents who are more engaged in civic activities than others.

Based on the numbers of activities in which residents reported being involved, their levels of civic engagement were then grouped into three categories:

- Not engaged: People who did not participate in any of the activities in the past 12 months
- Somewhat engaged: People who participated in one or two activities in the past 12 months
- Highly engaged: People who participated in 3 or more activities in the past 12 months

Overall, many residents' aged 25 years and older in KFL&A are somewhat engaged in civic activities, although over one third of the residents are not engaged at all (see Table 1).

Level of Civic Engagement	n	Pct.
Not engaged	52,396	38.6
Somewhat engaged	57,298	42.3
Highly engaged	25,887	19.1

Table 1Level of Civic Engagement among KFL&A Residents

Although they are not included with the tables that follow, statistical tests were used to determine if the differences observed between the three groups based on level of civic engagement were significant. In almost all cases, differences between the groups were statistically significant. When such differences were not statistically significant, this is noted below the specific table.

List of Abbreviations and Terms

n	Number of respondents
Pct.	Percentage of respondents
Mean	Arithmetic average
Std. Dev.	Standard deviation (average amount scores deviate from the mean)
Min.	Minimum score reported
Max.	Maximum score reported

Community Vitality

Table A1
People who Volunteered During the Past 12 Months

	Volunteere 12 mor	-
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Pct.
Not engaged	15,839	30.9
Somewhat engaged	32,691	58.4
Highly engaged	20,034	79.5

^a The number and percentage of people who volunteered in the past 12 months.

Table A2
Perceptions of Safety and Belonging: Sense of Belonging in Local Community

			Sense o	f belongir	ng to your	local com	munityª		Sumn Statis	-
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very weak	2	3	4	5	6	Very strong	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	52,272	4.3 (2,264)	7.6 (3,950)	16.0 (8,346)	21.8 (11,370)	17.5 (9,156)	18.8 (9,822)	14.1 (7,364)	4.53	1.66
Somewhat engaged	57,006	3.7 (2,134)	4.2 (2,419)	9.3 (5,276)	22.2 (12,677)	27.2 (15,480)	19.6 (11,166)	13.8 (7,854)	4.79	1.51
Highly engaged	25,829	1.0 (255)	1.6 (411)	7.4 (1,911)	22.2 (5,727)	20.7 (5,336)	22.3 (5,750)	24.9 (6,439)	5.26	1.40

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher sense of belonging.

Democratic Engagement

		Level of Interest in Municipal Politics ^a								Summary statistics			
	n	None	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Great deal	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,437	17.2 (8,858)	5.9 (3,052)	12.5 (6,419)	8.2 (4,199)	20.7 (10,662)	12.2 (6,262)	10.2 (5,269)	5.4 (2,786)	4.4 (2,246)	3.3 (1,684)	4.63	2.50
Somewhat engaged	57,233	7.2 (4,134)	5.6 (3,217)	9.6 (5,517)	9.3 (5,322)	13.5 (7,748)	11.9 (6,789)	16.5 (9,438)	14.2 (8,123)	3.6 (2,067)	8.5 (4,878)	5.70	2.53
Highly engaged	25,886	3.7 (956)	4.4 (1,151)	5.1 (1,327)	3.7 (950)	14.2 (3,680)	10.1 (2,623)	15.2 (3,924)	15.1 (3,920)	9.3 (2,412)	19.1 (4,943)	6.77	2.54

Table B1Level of Interest in Politics at the Municipal Level

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on 10-point scale where higher scores reflect higher levels of interest.

Table B2
People who Feel Programmes and Services of the Local Government Have Made Them Better Off

		E	xtent pro	-	and services ade you bet	-	overnmen	t	Sumi	nary
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Much worse off	2	3	Have not made any difference	5	6	Much better off	Stati Mean ^b	stics Std. Dev.
Not engaged	50,300	1.1 (562)	1.9 (936)	7.5 (3,774)	72.2 (36,341)	10.8 (5,437)	5.0 (2,528)	1.4 (722)	4.11	0.82
Somewhat engaged	55,961	1.3 (701)	3.5 (1,981)	7.4 (4,122)	61.3 (34,331)	19.3 (10,789)	5.5 (3,074)	1.7 (962)	4.17	0.93
Highly engaged	25,698	2.5 (637)	2.6 (661)	9.0 (2,321)	34.3 (8,822)	37.5 (9,646)	11.5 (2,958)	2.5 (653)	4.47	1.24

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses. ^b Based on 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher belief that local government has made residents better off.

Environment

Table C1.1Residents' Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities During the Past 12 Months
Recycle materials

			Sum Stati	•				
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	52,221	1.0 (546)	2.2 (1,149)	10.8 (5,649)	9.2 (4,825)	76.7 (40,052)	4.58	0.85
Somewhat engaged	56,892	0.2 (137)	3.7 (2,108)	8.2 (4,662)	8.4 (4,804)	79.4 (45,181)	4.63	0.81
Highly engaged	25,749	0.0 (0)	1.6 (411)	3.4 (863)	10.9 (2,809)	84.1 (21,666)	4.78	0.58

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 5-point scale where higher scores reflect higher frequency of engagement in the activity.

Table C1.2
Residents' Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities During the Past 12 Months:
Reuse materials

			Reuse materials ^a					mary stics
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,832	3.1 (1,600)	14.5 (7,517)	18.0 (9,337)	24.7 (12,822)	39.7 (20,556)	3.83	1.19
Somewhat engaged	57,062	0.7 (385)	16.4 (9,344)	15.5 (8,818)	23.7 (13,501)	43.8 (25,014)	3.94	1.14
Highly engaged	25,749	0.0 (0)	4.5 (1,153)	16.6 (4,281)	24.6 (6,340)	54.3 (13,975)	4.29	0.90

Table C1.3
Residents' Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities During the Past 12 Months:
Reduce household waste

			Reduce household wastea					nary stics
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,843	0.6 (334)	6.9 (3,563)	17.8 (9,221)	22.7 (11,777)	52.0 (26,948)	4.19	1.00
Somewhat engaged	56,180	1.5 (859)	6.1 (3,424)	15.7 (8,814)	17.8 (10,005)	58.9 (33,078)	4.26	1.02
Highly engaged	25,538	0.2 (44)	3.1 (799)	9.9 (2,533)	26.6 (6,802)	60.1 (15,360)	4.43	0.81

Table C1.4
Residents' Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities During the Past 12 Months:
Separate waste

			Separate waste ^a					nary stics
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	52,207	17.5 (9,137)	10.4 (5,425)	11.7 (6,120)	10.0 (5,245)	50.3 (26,280)	3.65	1.58
Somewhat engaged	56,762	12.2 (6,944)	6.9 (3,934)	11.2 (6,365)	8.1 (4,601)	61.5 (34,918)	4.00	1.45
Highly engaged	25,708	3.5 (891)	6.9 (1,786)	4.9 (1,260)	14.9 (3,837)	69.8 (17,934)	4.41	1.08

Table C1.5
Residents' Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities During the Past 12 Months:
Conserve energy

			Conserve energy ^a					nary stics
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	52,080	0.6 (305)	6.7 (3,509)	12.8 (6,684)	29.6 (15,423)	50.2 (26,159)	4.22	0.95
Somewhat engaged	57,183	0.3 (197)	4.9 (2,784)	11.2 (6,398)	25.9 (14,821)	57.7 (32,983)	4.36	0.89
Highly engaged	25,686	0.0 (0)	1.0 (261)	10.1 (2,585)	23.9 (6,138)	65.0 (16,702)	4.53	0.71

Table C1.6
Residents' Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities During the Past 12 Months
Conserve water

			Conserve water ^a					nary stics
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	52,135	0.8 (431)	7.3 (3,825)	19.6 (10,212)	28.9 (15,056)	43.4 (22,611)	4.07	1.00
Somewhat engaged	57,184	1.9 (1,111)	8.6 (4,900)	15.2 (8,681)	25.4 (14,502)	48.9 (27,990)	4.11	1.07
Highly engaged	25,749	0.0 (0)	5.3 (1,364)	19.2 (4,931)	28.1 (7,239)	47.7 (12,215)	4.18	0.92

Table C1.7
Residents' Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities During the Past 12 Months:
Walk, bike, or take public transit more often

		Walk,	bike, or ta	ke public tra	insit more	e oftenª	Sum: Stati	-
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,948	41.1 (21,332)	33.7 (17,504)	6.6 (3,449)	8.1 (4,212)	10.5 (5,451)	2.13	1.32
Somewhat engaged	57,086	25.7 (14,694)	36.6 (20,901)	7.3 (4,164)	13.9 (7,939)	16.4 (9,388)	2.59	1.42
Highly engaged	25,598	10.3 (2,647)	36.6 (9,358)	13.5 (3,458)	16.7 (4,280)	22.9 (5,855)	3.05	1.36

Table C1.8
Residents' Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities During the Past 12 Months:
Purchase foods produced locally

			Purchase foods produced locally ^a					
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	52,059	2.9 (1,528)	54.3 (28,266)	16.1 (8,395)	19.1 (9,943)	7.5 (3,927)	2.74	1.04
Somewhat engaged	56,949	1.2 (672)	40.2 (22,889)	22.6 (12,843)	24.1 (13,753)	11.9 (6,792)	3.05	1.08
Highly engaged	25,749	0.6 (163)	33.1 (8,532)	16.0 (4,131)	33.8 (8,715)	16.3 (4,208)	3.32	1.12

Leisure and Culture

Table D1.1Residents' Frequency of Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:Local Park

			Local Park ^a					
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,563	32.5 (16,771)	40.4 (20,807)	11.8 (6,060)	10.1 (5,230)	5.2 (2,695)	2.15	1.14
Somewhat engaged	56,162	15.5 (8,716)	40.9 (22,981)	22.5 (12,662)	14.4 (8,096)	6.6 (3,707)	2.56	1.11
Highly engaged	25,203	13.7 (3,463)	29.8 (7,514)	21.9 (5,507)	20.0 (5,051)	14.6 (3,668)	2.92	1.27

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^bBased on a 5-point scale where higher scores reflect higher frequency of facility use.

Table D1.2
Residents' Frequency of Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Public Library

			Public Library ^a					
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,852	48.9 (25,372)	29.8 (15,436)	9.2 (4,795)	6.3 (3,285)	5.7 (2,964)	1.90	1.16
Somewhat engaged	56,645	37.4 (21,181)	36.9 (20,877)	10.5 (5,955)	7.7 (4,358)	7.5 (4,274)	2.11	1.21
Highly engaged	25,736	17.7 (4,556)	41.9 (10,774)	16.9 (4,350)	13.1 (3,371)	10.4 (2,685)	2.57	1.22

Table D1.3
Residents' Frequency of Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Historic Site

			Historic Site ^a					
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,579	62.4 (32,166)	35.5 (18,330)	1.5 (763)	0.5 (252)	0.1 (68)	1.40	0.56
Somewhat engaged	56,527	43.6 (24,665)	49.6 (28,038)	4.1 (2,291)	2.7 (1,533)	0.0 (0)	1.66	0.69
Highly engaged	25,605	27.9 (7,149)	63.3 (16,199)	3.4 (879)	4.5 (1,149)	0.9 (229)	1.87	0.75

Table D1.4
Residents' Frequency of Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Performing Arts Facility

			Performing Arts Facility ^a					
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	50,978	43.0 (21,937)	46.9 (23,923)	7.1 (3,633)	2.5 (1,272)	0.4 (213)	1.70	0.74
Somewhat engaged	56,757	28.7 (16,267)	60.2 (34,140)	5.6 (3,188)	5.0 (2,842)	0.6 (320)	1.89	0.76
Highly engaged	25,706	23.4 (6,009)	51.0 (13,116)	16.1 (4,146)	9.0 (2,325)	0.4 (110)	2.12	0.88

Table D1.5
Residents' Frequency of Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Visual Arts Facility

			Summary Statistics					
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,141	85.4 (43,668)	13.3 (6,799)	1.2 (599)	0.1 (75)	0 (0.0)	1.16	0.41
Somewhat engaged	56,394	67.4 (37,986)	28.7 (16,168)	2.4 (1362)	1.6 (878)	0 (0.0)	1.38	0.62
Highly engaged	25,546	50.9 (13,014)	44.4 (11,347)	2.4 (608)	1.4 (352)	0.9 (225)	1.57	0.69

Table D1.6
Residents' Frequency of Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Arena

			Arena ^a					
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,312	74.8 (38,402)	18.2 (9,362)	3.2 (1,631)	1.7 (889)	2.0 (1,028)	1.38	0.80
Somewhat engaged	56,549	61.2 (34,630)	26.4 (14,927)	5.5 (3,129)	3.9 (2,218)	2.9 (1,645)	1.61	0.97
Highly engaged	25,615	47.9 (12,262)	31.0 (7,938)	4.0 (1,017)	7.7 (1,969)	9.5 (2,429)	2.00	1.30

Table D1.7
Residents' Frequency of Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Swimming Pool

			St	Summary Statistics				
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,652	72.5 (37,422)	16.2 (8,393)	4.8 (2,499)	3.4 (1,750)	3.1 (1,588)	1.48	0.96
Somewhat engaged	5,6099	60.1 (33,700)	25.5 (14,301)	5.2 (2,943)	7.8 (4,396)	1.4 (759)	1.65	0.98
Highly engaged	25,573	43.9 (11,217)	34.4 (8,798)	8.9 (2,270)	8.8 (2,244)	4.1 (1,044)	1.95	1.16

Table D1.8
Residents' Frequency of Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Multi-Purpose Recreation Centre

			Multi-Purpose Recreation Centre ^a					
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,354	72.5 (37,207)	16.8 (8,613)	5.1 (2,622)	2.4 (1,226)	3.3 (1,686)	1.47	0.94
Somewhat engaged	56,389	59.1 (33,339)	26.4 (14,901)	6.5 (3,688)	4.0 (2,258)	3.9 (2,203)	1.67	1.03
Highly engaged	24,832	41.1 (10,206)	36.9 (9,169)	6.9 (1,705)	11.4 (2,822)	3.7 (930)	2.00	1.13

Table D1.9
Residents' Frequency of Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Sports Field

		Sports Field ^a					Summary Statistics	
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,220	79.1 (40,532)	9.3 (4,744)	4.5 (2,306)	5.1 (2,611)	2.0 (1,027)	1.42	0.94
Somewhat engaged	55,915	65.6 (36,707)	20.1 (11,237)	7.0 (3,915)	5.1 (2,836)	2.2 (1,220)	1.58	0.98
Highly engaged	25,522	52.4 (13,365)	21.4 (5,458)	13.6 (3,470)	6.7 (1,716)	5.9 (1,513)	1.92	1.21

Table D1.10
Residents' Frequency of Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Splash Pad or Wading Pool

			Splash Pad or Wading Pool ^a					
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,482	88.3 (45,456)	8.8 (4,512)	0.9 (485)	1.0 (510)	1.0 (519)	1.18	0.59
Somewhat engaged	56,392	78.6 (44,325)	15.5 (8,740)	2.7 (1,509)	2.9 (1,641)	0.3 (177)	1.31	0.69
Highly engaged	25,511	58.3 (14,873)	24.6 (6,271)	5.7 (1,466)	7.4 (1,899)	3.9 (1,002)	1.74	1.11

Table D1.11
Residents' Frequency of Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Other Outdoor Sports Facilities

			Summary Statistics					
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,461	74.8 (38,495)	12.9 (6,618)	4.2 (2,184)	6.2 (3,177)	1.9 (987)	1.48	0.97
Somewhat engaged	55,726	64.8 (36,119)	20.2 (11,279)	8.3 (4,651)	5.8 (3,243)	0.8 (434)	1.58	0.92
Highly engaged	25,649	51,8 (13,287)	25.3 (6,494)	12.0 (3,066)	6.1 (1,569)	4.8 (1,233)	1.87	1.14

Table D1.12
Residents' Frequency of Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Outdoor Skating Rink

			Outdoor Skating Rink ^a					nary stics
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All of the time	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,060	81.2 (41,446)	16.7 (8,519)	1.4 (731)	0.1 (75)	0.6 (289)	1.22	0.53
Somewhat engaged	55,950	74.0 (41,417)	23.1 (12,919)	1.6 (907)	1.3 (707)	0.0 (0)	1.30	0.57
Highly engaged	24,864	56.1 (13,950)	31.3 (7,789)	6.8 (1,703)	3.3 (815)	2.4 (607)	1.65	0.93

Table D2.1
Residents' Perceived Accessibility of Recreation and Cultural Facilities:
There are places nearby to take classes for my own interest

		There are places nearby to take classes for my own interest ^a							Summary Statistics	
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	46,550	3.4 (1,575)	3.9 (1,837)	13.7 (6,375)	20.8 (9,680)	39.1 (18,183)	13.3 (6,194)	5.8 (2,706)	4.51	1.34
Somewhat engaged	55,306	4.0 (2,219)	2.8 (1,559)	12.6 (6,949)	19.9 (11,019)	38.7 (21,404)	14.9 (8,224)	7.1 (3,932)	4.60	1.37
Highly engaged	25,428	2.9 (750)	2.4 (606)	13.9 (3,522)	12.0 (3,046)	41.7 (10,593)	17.0 (4,316)	10.2 (2,595)	4.79	1.38

Table D2.2
Residents' Perceived Accessibility of Recreation and Cultural Facilities:
There is a local park nearby

		There is a local park nearby ^a								nary stics
Level of Civic Engagement	n		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	48,663	2.0 (965)	0.8 (398)	8.1 (3,924)	11.6 (5,662)	37.3 (18,127)	16.3 (7,911)	24.0 (11,676)	5.26	1.37
Somewhat engaged	55,427	1.8 (1,014)	0.9 (509)	4.6 (2,577)	8.8 (4,870)	33.2 (18,392)	22.7 (12,579)	27.9 (15,486)	5.50	1.32
Highly engaged	24,551	1.8 (444)	2.3 (564)	4.9 (1,200)	4.1 (1,012)	24.2 (5,949)	27.5 (6,748)	35.2 (8,634)	5.70	1.39

Table D2.3Residents' Perceived Accessibility of Recreation and Cultural Facilities:Recreation and culture facilities are easy for me to get to from my home

		Facilities are easy for me to get to from my home ^a								Summary Statistics	
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.	
Not engaged	48,330	2.3 (1,099)	2.4 (1,160)	7.1 (3,442)	17.8 (8,582)	42.2 (20,373)	18.6 (8,974)	9.7 (4,700)	4.90	1.27	
Somewhat engaged	55,387	3.2 (1,789)	2.5 (1,357)	8.1 (4,496)	14.0 (7,759)	45.1 (24,994)	16.7 (9,250)	10.4 (5,742)	4.87	1.33	
Highly engaged	25,586	1.6 (409)	2.4 (615)	11.5 (2,933)	12.9 (3,295)	34.9 (8,937)	18.0 (4,608)	18.7 (4,789)	5.06	1.41	

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^bBased on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher perceived accessibility.

Table D2.4
Residents' Perceived Accessibility of Recreation and Cultural Facilities:
Recreation programs are offered at convenient times

		Recreation programs are offered at convenient times ^a								Summary Statistics	
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.	
Not engaged	45,715	2.3 (1,038)	3.5 (1,584)	10.7 (4,881)	33.5 (15,329)	33.9 (15,500)	11.4 (5,213)	4.7 (2,170)	4.47	1.21	
Somewhat engaged	53.746	4.4 (2,357)	1.9 (1,028)	12.0 (6,439)	30.4 (16,344)	37.5 (20,181)	10.0 (5,355)	3.8 (2,042)	4.40	1.24	
Highly engaged	24,607	1.9 (466)	4.4 (1,078)	22.9 (5,645)	21.8 (5,356)	31.6 (7,777)	10.7 (2,624)	6.8 (1,661)	4.36	1.34	

Table D2.5
Residents' Perceived Accessibility of Recreation and Cultural Facilities:
Childcare is available if needed

		Childcare is available if needed ^a								Summary Statistics		
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.		
Not engaged	31,289	5.1 (1,595)	2.5 (769)	9.6 (2,997)	71.2 (22,273)	7.5 (2,333)	1.3 (392)	3.0 (930)	3.89	1.02		
Somewhat engaged	34,666	8.9 (3,090)	5.1 (1,775)	9.7 (3,363)	65.3 (22,646)	8.0 (2,773)	1.2 (413)	1.7 (606)	3.69	1.14		
Highly engaged	15,996	4.6 (740)	5.1 (819)	16.0 (2,558)	58.1 (9,286)	12.5 (1,998)	3.7 (595)	0.0 (0)	3.80	1.01		

Table D2.6Residents' Perceived Accessibility of Recreation and Cultural Facilities:
Cost prohibits participation

		Cost prohibits participation ^a								Summary Statistics	
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.	
Not engaged	45,724	10.8 (4,917)	10.8 (4,919)	31.7 (14,489)	28.0 (12,784)	12.0 (5,507)	3.6 (1,633)	3.2 (1,475)	3.43	1.40	
Somewhat engaged	53,105	13.4 (7,129)	11.5 (6,084)	29.1 (15,446)	24.7 (13,098)	12.1 (6,403)	4.6 (2,446)	4.7 (2,499)	3.43	1.54	
Highly engaged	23,576	10.3 (2,439)	15.6 (3,680)	35.0 (8,257)	18.2 (4,282)	11.7 (2,752)	3.4 (807)	5.8 (1,359)	3.39	1.52	

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^bBased on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher perceived accessibility.

Note: Not engaged and somewhat engaged residents are *not* significantly different in their perceptions of cost prohibiting their participation.

Table D2.7
Residents' Perceived Accessibility of Recreation and Cultural Facilities:
Recreation and cultural facilities are welcoming

			Recreation and cultural facilities are welcoming ^a								
Level of Civic Engagement	n		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.	
Not engaged	45,021	0.7 (321)	1.8 (791)	4.5 (2,046)	50.2 (22,610)	30.1 (13,552)	4.8 (2,179)	7.8 (3,522)	4.53	1.05	
Somewhat engaged	52,190	2.4 (1,240)	1.2 (622)	4.5 (2,334)	43.7 (22,789)	33.9 (17,708)	7.9 (4,141)	6.4 (3,356)	4.55	1.11	
Highly engaged	24,468	0.2 (44)	0.0 (0)	3.7 (910)	36.3 (8,873)	38.0 (9,306)	12.5 (3,063)	9.3 (2,272)	4.87	1.01	

Education

Table E1Residents who Took Courses for Interest in the Past Year

	Taken courses for interest during the past year ^a				
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Pct.			
Not engaged	51,942	8.4			
Somewhat engaged	56,478	14.6			
Highly engaged	25,672	14.8			

^a The number and percentage of people who took courses for interest in the past year.

Note: Somewhat engaged and highly engaged residents are *not* significantly different in the percentages who have taken courses for interest.

Living Standards

Table F1.1Residents' Reactions to Work:My personal life suffers because of my work

			My personal life suffers because of my work ^a							
Level of Civic Engagement	n		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	28,409	15.2 (4,328)	11.0 (3,134)	33.0 (9,378)	9.9 (2,809)	19.4 (5,500)	8.7 (2,478)	2.8 (782)	3.44	1.62
Somewhat engaged	35,850	9.9 (3,544)	10.1 3,620	35.6 (12,779)	11.7 (4,204)	24.7 (8,840)	5.1 (1,841)	2.9 (1,022)	3.58	1.48
Highly engaged	17,295	7.7 (1,325)	15.4 (2,668)	23.1 (3,987)	9.8 (1,698)	29.5 (5,105)	8.8 (1,518)	5.7 (994)	3.87	1.64

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table F1.2Residents' Reactions to Work:My job makes a personal life difficult

		My job makes a personal life difficult ^a								
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	28,409	15.4 (4,379)	11.1 (3,151)	35.9 (10,194)	12.1 (3,441)	15.7 (4,453)	6.3 (1,792)	3.5 (999)	3.35	1.58
Somewhat engaged	35,759	10.5 (3,755)	11.6 (4,132)	41.7 (14,914)	15.0 (5,371)	16.9 (6,060)	1.8 (655)	2.4 (872)	3.32	1.35
Highly engaged	17,297	8.7 (1,504)	14.5 (2,508)	26.2 (4,527)	19.0 (3,282)	21.0 (3,626)	7.0 (1,209)	3.7 (641)	3.65	1.52

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^bBased on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Note: Not engaged and somewhat engaged residents are not significantly different in their reaction to this aspect of work.

Table F1.3Residents' Reactions to Work:I neglect personal needs because of work

I neglect personal needs because of work ^a									Summary Statistics	
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	28,314	16.2 (4,587)	11.6 (3,298)	36.2 (10,246)	7.9 (2,236)	15.0 (4,244)	8.4 (2,376)	4.7 (1,327)	3.38	1.68
Somewhat engaged	35,692	11.7 (4,160)	10.4 (3,711)	37.5 (13,393)	8.7 (3,120)	26.6 (9,481)	1.2 (413)	4.0 (1,414)	3.47	1.49
Highly engaged	17,295	10.3 (1,783)	12.0 (2,084)	22.6 (3,913)	11.8 (2,045)	27.1 (4,695)	10.4 (1,804)	5.6 (971)	3.87	1.68

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table F1.4 Residents' Reactions to Work: I put my personal life on hold for work

			Summary Statistics							
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	28,407	17.4 (4,947)	9.7 (2,757)	31.9 (9,073)	9.3 (2,642)	19.5 (5,543)	4.4 (1,239)	7.8 (2,206)	3.48	1.75
Somewhat engaged	35,534	13.2 (4,687)	9.8 (3,498)	33.7 (11,970)	12.6 (4,468)	23.0 (8,168)	5.1 (1,809)	2.6 (934)	3.48	1.52
Highly engaged	17,296	11.2 (1,940)	7.8 (1,341)	31.7 (5,478)	7.7 (1,328)	27.2 (4,700)	8.3 (1,428)	6.3 (1,081)	3.82	1.66

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Note: Not engaged and somewhat engaged residents are not significantly different in their reaction to this aspect of work.

Table F1.5
Residents' Reactions to Work:
I am happy with the amount of time for non-work activities

		I am h	Sumr Statis	•						
Level of Civic Engagement	n		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	28,409	5.9 (1,673)	4.0 (1,150)	17.8 (5,065)	14.6 (4,149)	38.6 (10,954)	14.1 (4,005)	5.0 (1,413)	4.38	1.46
Somewhat engaged	35,850	2.8 (1,008)	6.3 (2,262)	27.3 (9,785)	12.2 (4,373)	38.6 (13,834)	5.8 (2,067)	7.0 (2,521)	4.23	1.41
Highly engaged	17,296	6.3 (1,087)	5.6 (975)	33.6 (5,803)	17.3 (2,984)	27.7 (4,790)	4.3 (739)	5.3 (918)	3.88	1.43

Table F1.6
Residents' Reactions to Work:
I struggle to juggle work and non-work activities

	I struggle to juggle work and non-work activities ^a									nary stics
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	28,350	17.6 (5,003)	8.9 (2,536)	29.6 (8,387)	9.8 (2,781)	25.7 (7,277)	3.6 (1,022)	4.7 (1,344)	3.47	1.67
Somewhat engaged	35,851	11.4 (4,101)	8.0 (2,878)	32.4 (11,621)	10.2 (3,659)	28.7 (10,287)	5.0 (1,795)	4.2 (1,508)	3.69	1.56
Highly engaged	17,296	7.6 (1,308)	5.6 (972)	27.8 (4,802)	13.3 (2,298)	31.3 (5,416)	6.4 (1,111)	8.0 (1,389)	4.07	1.57

Table F1.7
Residents' Reactions to Work:
I am in a better mood generally because of my job

		I	Sumr Statis	-						
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	28,671	3.6 (1,044)	1.9 (538)	24.3 (6,965)	30.4 (8,724)	30.9 (8,870)	5.0 (1,432)	3.8 (1,098)	4.13	1.22
Somewhat engaged	35,701	5.0 (1,790)	5.8 (2,074)	11.2 (4,008)	29.4 (10,504)	36.5 (13,043)	9.6 (3,437)	2.4 (845)	4.25	1.30
Highly engaged	17,296	2.6 (447)	4.7 (808)	14.0 (2,423)	20.7 (3,587)	40.9 (7,080)	11.3 (1,962)	5.7 (989)	4.50	1.30

Table F1.8
Residents' Reactions to Work:
I am in a better mood at work because of my personal life

		I am		mary istics						
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	28,360	2.3 (646)	3.2 (894)	10.0 (2,847)	25.2 (7,148)	41.0 (11,624)	7.6 (2,152)	10.8 (3,049)	4.65	1.30
Somewhat engaged	35,280	2.1 (728)	2.9 (1,018)	11.6 (4,102)	18.1 (6,371)	46.7 (16,480)	13.1 (4,623)	5.5 (1,958)	4.66	1.21
Highly engaged	17,296	2.3 (403)	1.7 (292)	2.7 (464)	25.9 (4,477)	34.9 (6,038)	20.7 (3,575)	11.8 (2,047)	4.99	1.253

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Note: Not engaged and somewhat engaged residents are *not* significantly different in their reaction to this aspect of work.

Table F1.9 Residents' Reactions to Work: My personal life gives me energy for my job

			Summary Statistics							
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	28,746	4.5 (1,301)	2.8 (813)	15.6 (4,493)	28.0 (8,046)	32.8 (9,425)	5.9 (1,698)	10.3 (2,970)	4.41	1.416
Somewhat engaged	35,792	3.2 (1,143)	2.5 (882)	11.0 (3,927)	27.3 (9,782)	40.2 (14,381)	12.5 (4,459)	3.4 (1,218)	4.50	1.206
Highly engaged	17,297	1.7 (293)	2.0 (339)	7.3 (1,270)	26.9 (4,650)	31.8 (5,498)	25.0 (4,326)	5.3 (921)	4.81	1.199

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^bBased on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table F1.10Residents' Reactions to Work:My personal life drains me for energy for work

			My personal life drains me for energy for work ^a									
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.		
Not engaged	28,409	20.8 (5,915)	16.8 (4,774)	49.4 (14,044)	9.1 (2,592)	3.2. (905)	0.0 (0)	0.6 (179)	2.60	1.08		
Somewhat engaged	35,850	13.8 (4,965)	16.5 (5,927)	51.1 (18,303)	13.8 (4,963)	4.1 (1,486)	0.6 (206)	0.0 (0)	2.80	1.02		
Highly engaged	17,296	22.0 (3,805)	20.7 (3,580)	39.8 (6,882)	11.6 (2,011)	4.6 (793)	0.7 (115)	0.6 (110)	2.61	1.18		

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Note: Not engaged and highly engaged residents are *not* significantly different in their reaction to this aspect of work.

Table F1.11Residents' Reactions to Work:I am too tired to be effective at work

			I am too tired to be effective at work ^a									
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.		
Not engaged	28,406	18.8 (5,329)	18.0 (5,119)	48.0 (13,627)	7.5 (2,123)	6.7 (1,891)	0.6 (178)	0.5 (139)	2.69	1.15		
Somewhat engaged	35,712	16.2 (5,791)	16.1 (5,757)	53.2 (19,013)	10.0 (3,565)	3.9 (1,395)	0.4 (150)	0.1 (41)	2.71	1.02		
Highly engaged	17,295	18.6 (3,218)	16.5 (2,861)	49.7 (8,599)	11.9 (2,057)	3.2 (560)	0.0 (0)	0.0 (0)	2.65	1.07		

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^bBased on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Note: Not engaged and somewhat engaged residents are not significantly different in their reaction to this aspect of work.

Table F1.12Residents' Reactions to Work:My work suffers because of my personal life

			My work suffers because of my personal life ^a									
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.		
Not engaged	28,407	24.6 (6,987)	19.8 (5,624)	48.2 (13,684)	5.0 (1,408)	1.6 (450)	0.4 (115)	0.5 (139)	2.42	1.04		
Somewhat engaged	35,852	22.0 (7,902)	18.0 (6,445)	51.5 (18,475)	5.6 (2,015)	2.6 (923)	0.3 (92)	0 (0)	2.49	0.99		
Highly engaged	17,296	28.8 (4,988)	18.3 (3,163)	40.0 (6,913)	5.6 (974)	5.1 (885)	0.0 (0)	0 (373)	2.49	1.30		

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^bBased on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Note: Somewhat engaged and highly engaged residents are not significantly different in their reaction to this aspect of work.

Table F1.13
Peoples' Reactions to Work:
It is hard to work because of personal matters

		It is hard to work because of personal matters ^a									
Level of Civic Engagement	n		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Very strongly agree	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.	
Not engaged	28,408	24.2 (6,883)	22.6 (6,419)	42.8 (12,165)	6.2 (1,755)	3.5 (983)	0.0 (0)	0.7 (203)	2.45	1.10	
Somewhat engaged	35,852	21.3 (7,652)	19.2 (6,882)	49.0 (17,564)	6.7 (2,418)	2.2 (800)	0.5 (197)	0.9 (339)	2.55	1.10	
Highly engaged	17,296	28.2 (4,884)	19.1 (3,304)	39.3 (6,804)	5.4 (933)	4.1 (706)	1.7 (292)	2.2 (373)	2.52	1.34	

Time Use

Table G1.1Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:To what extent there is enough time to get enough sleep/rest

			There is enough time to get enough sleep/rest ^a								Summary Statistics		
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Not enough	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Always enough	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	50,796	5.8 (2,962)	2.5 (1,279)	4.0 (2,014)	3.1 (1,584)	5.5 (2,817)	4.2 (2,134)	6.5 (3,285)	7.9 (3,997)	11.2 (5,708)	49.2 (25,016)	7.90	2.84
Somewhat engaged	56,330	3.6 (2,019)	1,8 (1,032)	5.7 (3,205)	4.0 (2,242)	8.4 (4,708)	4.8 (2,700)	8.9 (5,018)	12.1 (6,813)	9.2 (5,204)	41.5 (23,389)	7.68	2.68
Highly engaged	25,858	5.8 (1,511)	1.2 (310)	4.7 (1,203)	11.1 (2,878)	7.1 (1,836)	4.4 (1,146)	8.3 (2,145)	10.5 (2,728)	9.6 (2,480)	37.2 (9,621)	7.30	2.87

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

Table G1.2
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:
To what extent there is enough time to keep in shape

				Т	here is ei	nough tin	ne to kee	p in shap	eª			Sum Stati	mary istics
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Not enough	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Always enough	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	48,690	4.5 (2,207)	2.4 (1,162)	5.8 (2,818)	4.2 (2,053)	8.5 (4,149)	5.5 (2,682)	6.4 (3,096)	13.1 (6,402)	12.1 (5,906)	37.4 (18,215)	7.52	2.76
Somewhat engaged	55,022	2.7 (1,503)	3.0 (1,642)	7.8 (4,288)	2.5 (1,392)	8.2 (4,491)	8.5 (4,654)	12.9 (7,071)	14.9 (8,183)	10.0 (5,496)	29.6 (16,302)	7.29	2.60
Highly engaged	25,520	2.7 (694)	0.3 (87)	8.2 (2,096)	12.4 (3,158)	8.4 (2,139)	9.2 (2,347)	11.1 (2,842)	11.5 (2,926)	10.4 (2,652)	25.8 (6,579)	6.96	2.60

Table G1.3
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:
To what extent there is enough time to prepare or eat healthy meals

				There is	enough ti	ime to pr	epare or o	eat healt	hy meals ^a			Sum: Stati	
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Not enough	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Always enough	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	49,637	2.4 (1,215)	1.9 (921)	3.2 (1,611)	3.3 (1,634)	5.9 (2,916)	6.4 (3,162)	10.8 (5,364)	11.4 (5,668)	9.0 (4,470)	45.7 (22,676)	8.02	2.45
Somewhat engaged	55,673	1.2 (643)	1.7 (939)	4.7 (2,617)	1.7 (966)	9.0 (4,986)	6.4 (3,567)	10.6 (5,924)	18.2 (10,105)	14.8 (8,240)	31.8 (17,686)	7.79	2.27
Highly engaged	25,738	0.5 (139)	0.2 (57)	4.7 (1,215)	1.1 (278)	7.2 (1,865)	7.6 (1,944)	12.9 (3,324)	18.3 (4,703)	12.5 (3,226)	34.9 (8,987)	8.00	2.07

^b Based on a 10-point scale where higher scores reflect more adequate amounts of time perceived by respondent.

Note: Not engaged and highly engaged residents are *not* significantly different in their perceptions of this aspect of time adequacy.

Table G1.4
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:
To what extent there is enough time to nurture your spiritual and/or creative side

			There i	s enough	time to	nurture y	our spiri	tual and/	or creativ	ve side ^a		Sum Stati	mary istics
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Not enough	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Always enough	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	44,678	7.5 (3,370)	5.6 (2,485)	6.0 (2,699)	4.6 (2,056)	8.5 (3,782)	5.7 (2,565)	7.1 (3,182)	10.7 (4,779)	6.3 (2,801)	38.0 (16,959)	7.03	3.11
Somewhat engaged	51,597	2.4 (1,249)	3.7 (1,907)	8.9 (4,606)	5.3 (2,722)	10.7 (5,501)	10.4 (5,359)	9.2 (4,737)	13.6 (7,039)	8.9 (4,592)	26.9 (13,885)	6.96	2.67
Highly engaged	24,379	4.5 (1,098)	1.1 (270)	4.3 (1,050)	8.7 (2,124)	10.7 (2,601)	20.0 (4,877)	14.4 (`3,518)	7.8 (1,908)	8.8 (2,156)	19.6 (4,777)	6.67	2.47

Table G1.5Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:To what extent there is enough time to be yourself

				,	There is a	enough ti	me to be	yourself	a			Sum: Stati	•
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Not enough	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Always enough	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	49,266	2.5 (1,243)	1.9 (914)	4.9 (2,417)	4.0 (1,963)	5.4 (2,641)	6.1 (3,027)	8.1 (3,987)	9.0 (4,455)	12.2 (6,023)	45.9 (22,596)	7.98	2.56
Somewhat engaged	55,907	2.0 (1,144)	0.7 (393)	2.6 (1,462)	5.7 (3,176)	7.5 (4,166)	7.5 (4,189)	9.8 (5,497)	13.0 (7,276)	10.8 (6,034)	40.4 (22,570)	7.90	2.37
Highly engaged	25,157	1.1 (288)	0.4 (100)	2.3 (585)	10.5 (2,648)	5.3 (1,332)	5.3 (1,333)	7.1 (1,179)	16.4 (4,118)	12.9 (3,234)	38.7 (9,728)	7.92	2.32

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 10-point scale where higher scores reflect more adequate amounts of time perceived by respondent.

Note: Somewhat engaged and highly engaged residents are *not* significantly different in their perceptions of this aspect of time adequacy.

Table G1.6Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:To what extent there is enough time to socialize

					There is	enough	time to s	ocializeª				Sum: Stati	•
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Not enough	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Always enough	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	49,078	3.0 (1,482)	3.3 (1,622)	4.2 (2,048)	5.5 (2,701)	8.7 (4,285)	9.4 (4,617)	8.6 (4,232)	9.5 (4,665)	13.0 (6,371)	34.8 (17,055)	7.45	2.66
Somewhat engaged	55,142	2.0 (1,103)	2.6 (1,428)	7.1 (3,892)	5.1 (2,834)	8.4 (4,642)	8.7 (4,772)	12.2 (6,704)	12.9 (7,093)	9.6 (5,286)	31.5 (17,388)	7.33	2.57
Highly engaged	25,547	2.1 (528)	1.2 (306)	3.2 (820)	5.8 (1,486)	9.2 (2,342)	11.3 (2,887)	12.2 (3,119)	15.0 (3,839)	13.4 (3,420)	26.6 (6,800)	7.43	2.34

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 10-point scale where higher scores reflect more adequate amounts of time perceived by respondent.

Note: Not engaged and highly engaged residents are *not* significantly different in their perceptions of this aspect of time adequacy.

Table G1.7
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:
To what extent there is enough time to participate or be active in the community

			There i	s enough	time to	participa	te or be a	ctive in t	the comn	nunity ^a		Sum: Stati	•
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Not enough	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Always enough	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	44,285	9.3 (4,140)	5.5 (2,450)	6.1 (2,701)	3.7 (1,644)	11.7 (5,196)	6.5 (2,883)	6.9 (3,051)	11.3 (4,988)	10.1 (4,477)	28.8 (12,755)	6.69	3.10
Somewhat engaged	53,364	3.2 (1,730)	3.9 (2,075)	9.1 (4,871)	5.9 (3,162)	8.9 (4,772)	13.9 (7,406)	12.9 (6,906)	11.4 (6,087)	8.3 (4,435)	22.3 (11,920)	6.70	2.65
Highly engaged	24,748	2.3 (580)	3.7 (913)	6.2 (1,533)	7.0 (1,728)	11.3 (2,786)	11.9 (2,954)	13.1 (3,250)	13.6 (3,367)	11.8 (2,918)	19.1 (4,719)	6.82	2.50

^b Based on a 10-point scale where higher scores reflect more adequate amounts of time perceived by respondent.

Note: Not engaged and somewhat engaged residents are *not* significantly different in their perceptions of this aspect of time adequacy.

Table G1.8
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:
To what extent there is enough time to complete housework and chores

			T	here is er	ough tim	ne to com	plete hou	isework a	and chore	S ^a		Sum: Stati	-
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Not enough	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Always enough	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	49,203	3.4 (1,692)	2.0 (979)	4.3 (2,109)	5.3 (2,627)	10.8 (5,330)	10.0 (4,910)	6.8 (3,349)	10.6 (5,210)	8.9 (4,390)	37.8 (18,607)	7.46	2.66
Somewhat engaged	54,984	2.1 (1,131)	1.9 (1,046)	5.0 (2,764)	6.8 (3,746)	6.9 (3,793)	10.2 (5,619)	14.0 (7,683)	16.7 (9,194)	9.7 (5,331)	26.7 (14,677)	7.30	2.43
Highly engaged	25,623	1.6 (414)	3.5 (891)	3.8 (970)	8.9 (2,280)	12.1 (3,093)	9.9 (2,549)	12.1 (3,096)	14.5 (3,716)	14.9 (3,829)	18.7 (4,785)	6.97	2.42

Table G1.9
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:
To what extent is there enough time for you and your family to be together

			There is enough time for you and your family to be together ^a										
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Not enough	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Always enough	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	44,343	3.6 (1,589)	4.7 (2,095)	4.6 (2,048)	5.4 (2,405)	9.0 (3,979)	8.2 (3,656)	7.3 (3,228)	9.8 (4,364)	10.0 (4,432)	37.3 (16,547)	7.36	2.81
Somewhat engaged	50,239	4.8 (2,436)	2.5 (1,265)	6.3 (3,164)	4.5 (2,280)	8.5 (4,260)	8.7 (4,374)	11.6 (5,806)	14.1 (7,093)	12.5 (6,265)	26.5 (13,296)	7.12	2.69
Highly engaged	23,311	2.5 (588)	2.3 (526)	5.8 (1,361)	6.9 (1,620)	2.4 (557)	13.3 (3,098)	14.2 (3,308)	11.5 (2,681)	17.5 (4,088)	23.5 (5,484)	7.28	2.47

Table G1.10
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:
To what extent there is enough time to be with your partner or spouse

			There is enough time to be with your partner or spouse ^a										
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Not enough	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Always enough	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	36,930	3.3 (1,218)	1.7 (623)	4.9 (1,815)	5.5 (2,038)	5.9 (2,197)	6.2 (2,297)	8.1 (2,978)	12.3 (4,550)	10.2 (3,771)	41.8 (15,443)	7.76	2.63
Somewhat engaged	45,696	5.6 (2,539)	2.0 (906)	3.6 (1,643)	4.9 (2,231)	6.7 (3,058)	6.1 (2,793)	10.6 (4,852)	12.6 (5,780)	13.7 (6,260)	34.2 (15,634)	7.51	2.71
Highly engaged	22,361	2.0 (441)	0.7 (154)	5.4 (1,204)	5.8 (1,301)	5.2 (1,153)	6.9 (1,554)	14.6 (3,271)	16.2 (3,613)	12.4 (2,783)	30.8 (6,887)	7.62	2.36

Table G1.11										
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:										
To what extent there is enough time to be with the children you live with										

			There is enough time to be with the children you live with ^a										
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Not enough	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Always enough	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	20,007	6.7 (1,338)	0.8 (156)	8.4 (1,682)	4.9 (989)	9.2 (1,840)	7.6 (1,525)	5.3 (1,060)	12.8 (2,555)	10.6 (2,128)	33.7 (6,734)	7.17	2.90
Somewhat engaged	26,490	5.9 (1,561)	1.2 (321)	4.3 (1,138)	5.5 (1,447)	3.2 (1,967)	5.2 (1,379)	12.4 (3,278)	14.9 (3,950)	14.6 (3,859)	28.7 (7,590)	7.35	2.67
Highly engaged	14,316	1.8 (255)	0.4 (57)	5.1 (729)	9.7 (1,388)	2.7 (385)	2.8 (407)	13.2 (1,891)	17.2 (2,464)	20.9 (2,989)	26.2 (3,751)	7.67	2.34

Table G1.12
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:
To what extent there is enough time to form and sustain serious relationships

			There is enough time to form and sustain serious relationships ^a											
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Not enough	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Always enough	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.	
Not engaged	38,846	3.6 (1,392)	2.0 (779)	5.2 (2,008)	7.5 (2,924)	10.7 (4,138)	7.6 (2,963)	5.6 (2,170)	10.1 (3,931)	9.6 (3,712)	38.2 (14,829)	7.40	2.75	
Somewhat engaged	47,880	3.9 (1,851)	2.5 (1,216)	4.7 (2,271)	6.2 (2,979)	5.5 (2,618)	10.7 (5,100)	9.6 (4,603)	14.9 (7,118)	11.7 (5,592)	30.4 (14,532)	7.34	2.63	
Highly engaged	23,343	2.7 (627)	0.2 (44)	4.7 (1,103)	1.5 (360)	9.0 (2,108)	6.0 (1,390)	16.6 (3,877)	13.6 (3,183)	18.5 (4,318)	27.1 (6,333)	7.67	2.28	

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses. ^b Based on a 10-point scale where higher scores reflect more adequate amounts of time perceived by respondent.

Overall Wellbeing

		Summary Statistics								
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Extremely dis- satisfied	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely satisfied	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,728	1.8 (949)	3.2 (1,658)	7.4 (3,810)	23.4 (12,123)	27.5 (14,242)	28.1 (14,551)	8.5 (4,395)	4.72	1.31
Somewhat engaged	57,063	0.5 (1,046)	1.8 (3,572)	6.3 (8,470)	14.8 (19,557)	34.3 (18,032)	31.6 (6,125)	10.7 (1,046)	4.98	1.18
Highly engaged	25,888	0.4 (98)	1.5 (396)	3.1 (814)	15.5 (4,021)	31.9 (8,250)	29.4 (7,622)	18.1 (4,687)	5.15	1.14

Table H1.1Satisfaction with Community Vitality

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher levels of satisfaction, using responses to items on sense of belonging to the community and with personal relationships.

		Sum Stati	-							
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Extremely dis- satisfied	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely satisfied	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	52,194	2.5 (1,297)	3.7 (1,923)	8.3 (4,326)	17.3 (9,023)	25.3 (13,229)	25.8 (13,468)	17.1 (8,928)	4.87	1.45
Somewhat engaged	57,064	0.9 (531)	2.6 (1,488)	9.8 (5,568)	14.1 (8,053)	24.2 (13,832)	32.7 (18,662)	15.6 (8,930)	4.99	1.33
Highly engaged	25,886	0.3 (78)	4.3 (1,114)	6.5 (1,683)	16.6 (4,286)	24.6 (6,377)	35,6 (9,226)	12.1 (3,122)	4.94	1.31

Table H1.2Satisfaction with Health

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher levels of satisfaction, using responses to items on mental and physical wellbeing.

Note: Somewhat engaged and highly engaged residents are *not* significantly different in their satisfaction with their overall health.

	Satisfaction with Democratic Engagement ^a									mary stics
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Extremely dis- satisfied	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely satisfied	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,122	3.1 (1,609)	5.0 (2,553)	11.3 (5,798)	38.2 (19,525)	23.8 (12,149)	14.9 (7,639)	3.6 (1,849)	4.23	1.28
Somewhat engaged	56,515	3.5 (1,970)	7.5 (4,239)	11.0 (6,203)	31.2 (17,635)	29.8 (16,836)	15.1 (8,542)	1.9 (1,090)	4.16	1.29
Highly engaged	25,887	4.9 (1,269)	5.6 (1,457)	9.2 (2,373)	28.7 (7,431)	29.9 (7,752)	18.8 (4,878)	2.8 (727)	4.26	1.31

Table H1.3Satisfaction with Democratic Engagement

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher levels of satisfaction, using responses to items on the way local government responds to community needs and how well democracy is working in the community.

		Summary Statistics								
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Extremely dis- satisfied	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely satisfied	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,914	0.4 (211)	0.7 (376)	3.6 (1,867)	11.0 (5,702)	19.3 (10,038)	39.1 (20,283)	25.9 (13,437)	5.58	1.16
Somewhat engaged	57,010	1.5 (859)	2.2 (1,239)	1.5 (863)	11.2 (6,397)	20.7 (11,786)	38.4 (21,872)	24.5 (13,994)	5.47	1.26
Highly engaged	25,888	0.8 (203)	2.5 (635)	1.5 (387)	4.3 (1,121)	18.8 (4,867)	50.6 (13,100)	21.5 (5,575)	5.64	1.12

Table H1.4Satisfaction with the Environment

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher levels of satisfaction, using responses to items on environmental quality of neighbourhood and neighbourhood as a place to live.

			Summary Statistics							
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Extremely dis- satisfied	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely satisfied	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	52,158	1.1 (576)	3.0 (1,558)	7.6 (3,990)	24.6 (12,845)	32.0 (16,703)	22.0 (11,493)	9.6 (4,993)	4.85	1.23
Somewhat engaged	56,865	0.3 (143)	1.5 (860)	6.8 (3,859)	21.9 (12,457)	37.9 (21,564)	24.1 (13,677)	7.6 (4,305)	4.97	1.07
Highly engaged	25,887	0.5 (127)	1.4 (357)	2.8 (732)	24.7 (6,394)	39.1 (10,119)	24.9 (6,435)	6.7 (1,723)	4.99	1.02

Table H1.5Satisfaction with Leisure and Culture

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher levels of satisfaction, using responses to items on leisure time, access to arts and cultural opportunities in the community, and access to recreational and parks opportunities in the community.

			Satisfaction with Education ^a						Summary Statistics	
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Extremely dis- satisfied	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely satisfied	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	49,572	3.0 (1,483)	4.4 (2,162)	8.9 (4,409)	33.2 (16,463)	19.4 (9,620)	20.4 (10,117)	10.7 (5,318)	4.66	1.44
Somewhat engaged	55,731	2.4 (1,317)	3.7 (2,066)	6.4 (3,585)	24.6 (13,723)	27.4 (15,254)	26.6 (14,832)	8.9 (4,954)	4.86	1.35
Highly engaged	25,460	2.0 (506)	0.5 (126)	3.6 (928)	25.6 (6,522)	32.8 (8,360)	24.4 (6,207)	11.0 (2,811)	5.04	1.20

Table H1.6Satisfaction with Education

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher levels of satisfaction, using responses to the item on access to educational opportunities in the community.

			Satisfaction with Living Standards ^a							Summary Statistics	
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Extremely dis- satisfied	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely satisfied	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.	
Not engaged	51,268	6.5 (3,318)	6.2 (3,169)	7.6 (3,912)	15.3 (7,864)	22.8 (11,704)	29.5 (15,121)	12.1 (6,180)	4.62	1.66	
Somewhat engaged	57,036	5.0 (2,873)	5.7 (3,230)	10.5 (5,992)	11.3 (6,417)	25.1 (14,288)	30.1 (17,170)	12.4 (7,066)	4.70	1.62	
Highly engaged	25,695	3.4 (1,258)	4.9 (2,068)	8.0 (3,501)	13.6 (7,679)	29.9 (6,574)	25.6 (3,742)	14.6 (1,258)	4.79	1.56	

Table H1.7Satisfaction with Living Standards

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher levels of satisfaction, using responses to items on financial and work situations.

			Satisfaction with Time Use ^a							mary stics
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Extremely dis- satisfied	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely satisfied	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,233	2.1 (1,099)	4.1 (2,097)	11.0 (5,621)	24.7 (12,650)	22.5 (11,539)	22.4 (11,498)	13.1 (6,729)	4.67	1.43
Somewhat engaged	56,846	2.5 (1,435)	2.3 (1,306)	9.7 (5,502)	20.2 (11,500)	29.2 (16,578)	26.0 (14,764)	10.1 (5,761)	4.77	1.36
Highly engaged	25,888	0.7 (193)	1.8 (469)	11.3 (2,923)	20.3 (5,266)	32.0 (8,282)	25.7 (6,641)	8.2 (2,114)	4.76	1.22

Table H1.8Satisfaction with Time Use

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher levels of satisfaction with the way respondents spend time and with the balance of activities in daily life.

Note: Somewhat engaged and highly engaged residents are *not* significantly different in their satisfaction with their time use.

			Satisfaction with Overall Wellbeing ^a							Summary Statistics	
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Extremely dis- satisfied	2	3	4	5	6	Extremely satisfied	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.	
Not engaged	51,978	0.6 (286)	1.2 (619)	8.4 (4,349)	26.7 (13,892)	38.0 (19,744)	21.0 (10,909)	4.2 (2,179)	4.78	1.04	
Somewhat engaged	56,732	0.1 (41)	2.0 (1,143)	7.0 (3,970)	19.5 (11,053)	42.8 (24,297)	24.3 (13,771)	4.3 (2,457)	4.87	0.97	
Highly engaged	25,851	0.1 (35)	0.0 (0)	5.5 (1,428)	22.9 (5,911)	39.9 (10,309)	27.9 (7,209)	3.7 (959)	4.95	0.91	

Table H1.9Satisfaction with Overall Wellbeing

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher levels of satisfaction, using responses to all 16 items in scale. Summary statistics are based on the mean satisfaction scores for the eight domains of wellbeing reported in the previous tables.

			Satisfactio	Summary Statistics				
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Very dis- satisfied	Dis- satisfied	Neither satisfied/ nor dis- satisfied	Satisfied	Very satisfied	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Not engaged	51,555	3.1 (1,622)	7.3 (3,748)	11.8 (6,097)	52.2 (26,903)	25.6 (13,185)	3.90	0.97
Somewhat engaged	5,6244	2.1 (1,200)	7.6 (4,248)	11.4 (6,401)	51.7 (29,061)	27.3 (15,334)	3.94	0.94
Highly engaged	25,795	0.3 (78)	8.0 (2,076)	6.8 (1,749)	50.8 (13,116)	34.0 (8,776)	4.10	0.86

Table H2 Peoples' Level of Satisfaction with Life in General

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses. ^bBased on a 5-point scale where higher scores reflect higher levels of satisfaction with life in general.

Demographics

Table I1 Gender of Residents

		Gender ^a		
Level of Civic Engagement	n	Male	Female	
Not engaged	52,396	49.6 (25,989)	50.4 (26,407)	
Somewhat engaged	57,298	47.2 (27,038)	52.8 (30,260)	
Highly engaged	25,887	45.9 (11,889)	54.1 (13,993)	

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

Table I2 Age of Residents

		Level of Civic Engagement ^a			
Age Group	n	Not engaged	Somewhat engaged	Highly engaged	
24 to 44 years of age	46,005	30.5 (14,041)	44.4 (20,415)	25.1 (11,549)	
45 to 64 years of age	57,047	41.5 (23,698)	41.5 (23,682)	16.9 (9,667)	
65 years of age or older	32,530	45.1 (14,657)	40.6 (13,202)	14.4 (4,671)	

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

		Level of Civic Engagement ^a				
Marital Status	n	Not engaged	Somewhat engaged	Highly engaged		
Single, never married	13,286	50.6 (6,721)	38.7 (5,141)	10.7 (1,424)		
Married	84,417	36.5 (30,777)	41.5 (35,019)	22.1 (18,621)		
Living common-law	14,008	37.0 (5,184)	47.8 (6,690)	15.2 (2,134)		
Separated	2,653	42.7 (1,133)	28.4 (753)	28.9 (767)		
Divorced	9,391	30.2 (2,835)	53.5 (5,020)	16.4 (1,536)		
Widowed	11,327	50.2 (5,683)	39.6 (4,491)	10.2 (1,153)		

Table I3Marital Status of Residents

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

		Level of Civic Engagement ^a				
Highest Level of Education Completed	n	Not engaged	Somewhat engaged	Highly engaged		
Elementary school	3,562	53.1 (1,892)	39.8 (1,418)	7.1 (252)		
High school	26,479	51.2 (13,565)	40.5 (10,735)	8.2 (2,179)		
Post-secondary certificate	13,030	45.7 (5,958)	44.2 (5,757)	10.1 (1,315)		
College diploma	38,985	40.5 (15,805)	44.9 (17,498)	14.6 (5,682)		
University degree (e.g., BA, BSc)	29,849	31.5 (9,358)	35.0 (10,436)	33.7 (10,055)		
Graduate degree (e.g., MA, MSc, PhD)	23,214	24.0 (5,566)	48.9 (11,358)	27.1 (6,290)		

Table I4Education of Residents

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

		Level of Civic Engagement ^a				
Main Activity	n	Not engaged	Somewhat engaged	Highly engaged		
Working full-time	56,071	35.4 (19,832)	42.8 (24,012)	21.8 (12,227)		
Working part-time	9,686	47.4 (4,587)	35.0 (3,388)	17.7 (1,711)		
Non-standard employment ^b	12,872	20.1 (2,589)	55.5 (7,145)	24.4 (3,138)		
Unemployed, looking for work	3,362	47.8 (1,608)	34.9 (1,174)	17.3 (580)		
Retired	40,904	45.8 (18,724)	40.1 (16,421)	14.1 (5,759)		
Going to school	2,292	28.8 (659)	71.2 (1,633)	0.0 (0)		
Household work/caring for children	6,047	41.3 (2,497)	29.9 (1,807)	28.8 (1,743)		
On leave from work (e.g., illness, parental leave)	3,256	51.7 (1,684)	34.1 (1,111)	14.2 (461)		

Table J5Main Activity of Residents

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.

^b Non-standard employment includes self-employed, contract, seasonal, temporary, and multiple jobs.

Table J6Income of Residents'

		Level of Civic Engagement ^a				
Age Group	n	Not engaged	Somewhat engaged	Highly engaged		
Less than \$40,000	31,218	46.8 (14,600)	38.4 (12,001)	14.8 (4,617)		
\$40,000 to \$99,999	62,349	36.2 (22,540)	44.0 (27,445)	19.8 (12,364)		
\$100,000 or more	35,765	32.7 (11,712)	44.6 (15,957)	22.6 (8,096)		

^a Percentages reported above with frequencies below in parentheses.



Measuring what matters

For more information about the Canadian Index of Wellbeing, visit <u>www.ciw.ca</u> @ 2014 Canadian Index of Wellbeing